arXiv:1511.08930v1 [math.DG] 28 Nov 2015

FORMALITY OF 7-DIMENSIONAL 3-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

MARISA FERNÁNDEZ, STEFAN IVANOV, AND VICENTE MUÑOZ

Abstract. We prove that any simply connected compact 3-Sasakian manifold, of dimension seven, is formal if and only if its second Betti number is $b_2 < 2$. In the opposite, we show an example of a 7-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 \geq 2$, which is formal. Therefore, such an example does not admit any 3-Sasakian structure. Examples of 7-dimensional simply connected compact formal Sasakian manifolds, with $b_2 \geq 2$, are also given.

1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold (N, g), of dimension $2n + 1$, is Sasakian if its metric cone $(N \times \mathbb{R}^+, g^c = t^2 g + dt^2)$ is Kähler. If in addition the metric g is Einstein, then (N, g) is said to be a *Sasaki-Einstein* manifold. In this case, the cone metric g^c is Ricci flat. Sasakian geometry is the odd-dimensional counterpart to Kähler geometry. Indeed, just as Kähler geometry is the intersection of complex, symplectic and Riemannian geometry, Sasakian geometry is the intersection of normal, contact and Riemannian geometry.

Sasakian structures can be also defined in terms of strongly pseudo convex CR-structures, namely a strongly pseudo convex CR-structure is Sasakian exactly when the Webster torsion vanishes (see e.g. [10]).

One of the results of Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan and Sullivan states that any compact Kähler manifold is formal [9]. However, the first and third authors in [3] have proved that the formality is not an obstruction to the existence of Sasakian structures even on simply connected manifolds. Indeed, examples of 7-dimensional simply connected compact non-formal Sasakian manifolds, with second Betti number $b_2(N) \geq 2$, are constructed in [3]. (Note that any 7-dimensional simply connected compact manifold with $b_2 \leq 1$ is formal, see section 2 for details.) Nevertheless, in [3] it is also proved that all higher Massey products are trivial on any compact Sasakian manifold.

We remind that a 3-Sasakian manifold is a Riemannian manifold (N, g), of dimension $4n + 3$, such that its cone $(N \times \mathbb{R}^+, g^c = t^2 g + dt^2)$ is hyperkähler, and so the holonomy group of g^c is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(n + 1)$. Thus 3-Sasakian manifolds are automatically Sasaki-Einstein with positive scalar curvature [20]. Consequently, a complete 3-Sasakian manifold is compact with finite fundamental group due to the Myers' theorem. The hyperkähler structure on the cone induces a 3-Sasakian structure on the base of the cone. In particular, the triple of complex structures gives rise to a triple of Reeb vector fields (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) whose Lie brackets give a copy of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

A 3-Sasakian manifold (N, g) is said to be *regular* if the vector fields ξ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are complete and the corresponding 3-dimensional foliation is regular, so that the space of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 55S30, 55P62.

Key words and phrases. 3-Sasakian manifolds, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds, formality, Massey products.

leaves is a smooth $4n$-dimensional manifold M. Ishihara and Konishi in [18] noticed that the induced metric on the latter is quaternionic Kähler with positive scalar curvature. Conversely, starting with a quaternionic Kähler manifold M of positive scalar curvature, the manifold M can be recovered as the total space of a bundles naturally associated to M.

The above situation has been generalized to the orbifold category by Boyer, Galicki and Mann in [7] (see also [6]). In fact, if the 3-Sasakian manifold is compact, then the Reeb vector fields ξ_i are complete, the corresponding 3-dimensional foliation has compact leaves and the space of leaves is a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold or orbifold. We recall that a $4n$-dimensional ($n > 1$) Riemannian manifold/orbifold is quaternionic Kähler if it has holonomy group contained in $\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, and a 4-dimensional quaternionic Kähler manifold/orbifold is a self-dual Einstein Riemannian manifold/orbifold.

The 3-Sasakian structures can be considered also from a sub-Riemannian point of view [25] by using quaternionic contact structures [2]. A 3-Sasakian manifold is precisely a quaternionic contact manifold with vanishing Biquard torsion and positive (quaternionic) scalar curvature [19].

Important results on the topology of a compact 3-Sasakian manifold were proved by Galicki and Salamon in [15]. There it is proved that the odd Betti numbers b_{2i+1} of such a manifold, of dimension $4n + 3$, are all zero for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, for regular compact 3-Sasakian manifolds many topological properties are known (see [5, Proposition 13.5.6 and Theorem 13.5.7]). For example, such a manifold is simply connected unless $N = \mathbb{RP}^{4n+3}$. Also, using the results of Lebrun and Salamon [21] about the topology of positive quaternionic Kähler manifolds, Boyer and Galicki in [5] show interesting relations among the Betti numbers of regular compact 3-Sasakian manifolds; in particular $b_2 \leq 1$. Nevertheless, in [8] it is proved that there exist many 3-Sasakian manifolds, of dimension 7, with arbitrary second Betti number. The goal of this note is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (N, g) be a simply connected compact 3-Sasakian manifold, of dimension 7. Then, N is formal if and only if its second Betti number $b_2(N) \leq 1$.*

On the other hand, we prove that formality allows one to distinguish 7-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifolds which admit 3-Sasakian structures from those which do not. In fact, we show an example of a 7-dimensional regular simply connected Sasaki-Einstein manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 \geq 2$, which is formal. Thus, Theorem 1.1 implies that such a manifold does not admit any 3-Sasakian structure. Our example is the total space of an S^1-bundle over a positive Kähler Einstein 6-manifold which is the blow up of the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$ at four points.

2. Minimal models and formal manifolds

In this section, we recall concepts about minimal models and formality from [9, 11, 12].

Let $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a *differential graded commutative algebra* over the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ (in the sequel, we shall say just a differential algebra), that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded commutative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with a differential $d_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is a derivation, i.e. $d_{\mathcal{A}}(a \cdot b) = (d_{\mathcal{A}}a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|}a \cdot (d_{\mathcal{A}}b)$, where $|a|$ is the degree of a. Given a differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$, we denote its cohomology by $H^*(\mathcal{A})$. The cohomology of a differential graded

algebra $H^*(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally a DGA with the product inherited from that on $\mathcal{A}$ and with the differential being identically zero. The DGA $(\mathcal{A},\, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is *connected* if $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is 1-*connected* if, in addition, $H^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Henceforth we shall assume that all our DGAs are connected. In our context, the main example of DGA is the de Rham complex $(\Omega^*(M),\, d)$ of a connected differentiable manifold M, where d is the exterior derivative of M.

Morphisms between differential algebras are required to be degree preserving algebra maps which commute with the differentials. A morphism $f : (\mathcal{A},\, d_{\mathcal{A}}) \to (\mathcal{B},\, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a *quasi-isomorphism* if the map induced in cohomology $f^* : H^*(\mathcal{A}) \to H^*(\mathcal{B})$ is an isomorphism.

A differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is said to be *minimal* if:

(1) $\mathcal{A}$ is free as an algebra, that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is the free algebra $\bigwedge V$ over a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus V^i$, and
(2) there exists a collection of generators $\{a_\tau, \tau \in I\}$, for some well ordered index set I, such that $|a_\mu| \leq |a_\tau|$ if $\mu < \tau$ and each $d_{\mathcal{A}} a_\tau$ is expressed in terms of preceding a_μ $(\mu < \tau)$. This implies that $d_{\mathcal{A}} a_\tau$ does not have a linear part.

We shall say that $(\mathcal{M},\, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a *minimal model* of the differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ if $(\mathcal{M},\, d_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a minimal DGA and there exists a morphism of differential graded algebras

$$\rho\colon (\mathcal{M},\, d_{\mathcal{M}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$$

inducing an isomorphism $\rho^*\colon H^*(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{A})$ in cohomology. In [17], Halperin proved that any connected differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ has a minimal model unique up to isomorphism. For 1-connected differential algebras, a similar result was proved by Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan and Sullivan [9, 16].

A *minimal model* of a connected differentiable manifold M is a minimal model $(\bigwedge V,\, d)$ for the de Rham complex $(\Omega^*(M),\, d)$ of differential forms on M. If M is a simply connected manifold, then the dual of the real homotopy vector space $\pi_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to V^i for any i. This relation also holds when $i > 1$ and M is nilpotent, that is, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is nilpotent and its action on $\pi_j(M)$ is nilpotent for all $j > 1$ (see [9]).

We say that a differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a *model* of a differentiable manifold M if $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ and M have the same minimal model.

Recall that a minimal algebra $(\bigwedge V,\, d)$ is called *formal* if there exists a morphism of differential algebras $\psi\colon (\bigwedge V,\, d) \longrightarrow (H^*(\bigwedge V), 0)$ inducing the identity map on cohomology. Also a differentiable manifold M is called *formal* if its minimal model is formal. Many examples of formal manifolds are known: spheres, projective spaces, compact Lie groups, homogeneous spaces, flag manifolds, and all compact Kähler manifolds.

The formality of a minimal algebra is characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.1 ([9])**.** *A minimal algebra $(\bigwedge V,\, d)$ is formal if and only if the space V can be decomposed into a direct sum $V = C \oplus N$ with $d(C) = 0$, and d injective on N, such that every closed element in the ideal $I(N) \subset \bigwedge V$ generated by N is exact.*

This characterization of formality can be weakened using the concept of s-formality introduced in [12].

Definition 2.2. A minimal algebra $(\bigwedge V, d)$ is s-formal ($s > 0$) if for each $i \leq s$ the space V^i of generators of degree i decomposes as a direct sum $V^i = C^i \oplus N^i$, where the spaces C^i and N^i satisfy the three following conditions:

(1) $d(C^i) = 0$,
(2) the differential map $d : N^i \longrightarrow \bigwedge V$ is injective, and
(3) any closed element in the ideal $I_s = I(\bigoplus_{i\leq s} N^i)$, generated by the space $\bigoplus_{i\leq s} N^i$ in the free algebra $\bigwedge(\bigoplus_{i\leq s} V^i)$, is exact in $\bigwedge V$.

A differentiable manifold M is s-formal if its minimal model is s-formal. Clearly, if M is formal then M is s-formal for all $s > 0$. The main result of [12] shows that sometimes the weaker condition of s-formality implies formality.

Theorem 2.3 ([12])**.** *Let M be a connected and orientable compact differentiable manifold of dimension $2n$ or $(2n-1)$. Then M is formal if and only if it is $(n-1)$-formal.*

One can check that any simply connected compact manifold is 2-formal. Therefore, Theorem 2.3 implies that any simply connected compact manifold of dimension not more than 6 is formal. (This result was early proved by Neisendorfer and Miller in [24].) For 7-dimensional compact manifolds, we have that M is formal if and only if M is 3-formal. Moreover, if M is simply connected we have:

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a 7-dimensional simply connected compact manifold with $b_2(M) \leq 1$. Then, M is 3-formal and so formal.*

Proof. Let $(\bigwedge V, d)$ be the minimal model of M. Write $V^i = C^i \oplus N^i$, $i \leq 3$. Suppose that $b_2(M) = 1$. Since M is simply connected, we get $C^1 = N^1 = 0$, $C^2 = \langle a \rangle$, $N^2 = 0$ and $V^3 = C^3 \oplus N^3$, where N^3 has at most one element x if a^2 defines the zero class in the cohomology group $H^4(\bigwedge V, d)$. If $N^3 = 0$, then M is clearly 3-formal. If $N^3 = \langle x \rangle$ with $dx = a^2$, then take $z \in I(N^{\leq 3})$ a closed element in $\bigwedge V$. As $H^*(\bigwedge V) = H^*(M)$ has only cohomology in degrees $0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7$, it must be $\deg z = 5, 7$. If $\deg z = 5$ then $z = a \cdot x$ which is not closed, and if $\deg z = 7$ then $z = a^2 \cdot x$ which is not closed either. Thus, according to Definition 2.2, M is 3-formal, and by Theorem 2.3, M is formal.

Finally, in the case that $b_2(M) = 0$, then $C^i = N^i = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$, $V^3 = C^3$ and $N^3 = 0$. Hence, M is formal. □

In order to detect non-formality, instead of computing the minimal model, which usually is a lengthy process, one can use Massey products, which are known to be obstructions to formality. The simplest type of Massey product is the triple (also known as ordinary) Massey product, which we define next.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a DGA (in particular, it can be the de Rham complex of differential forms on a differentiable manifold). Suppose that there are cohomology classes $[a_i] \in H^{p_i}(\mathcal{A})$, $p_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, such that $a_1 \cdot a_2$ and $a_2 \cdot a_3$ are exact. Write $a_1 \cdot a_2 = d_{\mathcal{A}} x$ and $a_2 \cdot a_3 = d_{\mathcal{A}} y$. The *(triple) Massey product* of the classes $[a_i]$ is defined to be

$$\langle [a_1], [a_2], [a_3] \rangle = [a_1 \cdot y + (-1)^{p_1+1} x \cdot a_3] \in \frac{H^{p_1+p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{A})}{[a_1] \cdot H^{p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{A}) + [a_3] \cdot H^{p_1+p_2-1}(\mathcal{A})}\,.$$

Note that a Massey product $\langle [a_1],[a_2],[a_3]\rangle$ on $(\mathcal{A},\, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is zero (or trivial) if and only if there exist $\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}\in\mathcal{A}$ such that $a_1\cdot a_2 = d_{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{x},\ \ a_2\cdot a_3 = d_{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{y}$ and $0=[a_1\cdot\widetilde{y}+(-1)^{p_1+1}\widetilde{x}\cdot a_3]\in H^{p_1+p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{A})$.

We wil use also the following property.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a connected differentiable manifold. Then, Massey products on M can be calculated by using any model of M.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that if $\varphi:(\mathcal{A},\, d_A)\to(\mathcal{B},\, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then $\varphi^*(\langle [a_1],[a_2],[a_3]\rangle)=\langle [a_1'],[a_2'],[a_3']\rangle$, for $[a_j']=\varphi^*([a_j])$. But this is clear, take $a_1\cdot a_2 = d_{\mathcal{A}}x,\ \ a_2\cdot a_3=d_{\mathcal{A}}y$ and let

$$f=[a_1\cdot y+(-1)^{p_1+1}x\cdot a_3]\in\frac{H^{p_1+p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{A})}{[a_1]\cdot H^{p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{A})+[a_3]\cdot H^{p_1+p_2-1}(\mathcal{A})}$$

be its Massey product $\langle [a_1],[a_2],[a_3]\rangle$. Then $a_j'=\varphi(a_j)$ satisfy $a_1'\cdot a_2' = d_{\mathcal{B}}x',\ \ a_2'\cdot a_3'=d_{\mathcal{B}}y'$, where $x'=\varphi(x)$, $y'=\varphi(y)$. Therefore

$$f'=[a_1'\cdot y'+(-1)^{p_1+1}x'\cdot a_3']=\varphi^*(f)\in\frac{H^{p_1+p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{B})}{[a_1']\cdot H^{p_2+p_3-1}(\mathcal{B})+[a_3']\cdot H^{p_1+p_2-1}(\mathcal{B})}$$

is the Massey product $\langle [a_1'],[a_2'],[a_3']\rangle$. □

The existence of a non-zero Massey product is an obstruction to the formality. We have the following result, initially proved in [9].

Lemma 2.6. *If M has a non-trivial Massey product then M is non-formal.*

Proof. Suppose that M is formal and let us see that all the Massey products are trivial. Let a_1,a_2,a_3 be cohomology classes on M with $a_1\cdot a_2=a_2\cdot a_3=0$. By Lemma 2.5, to compute the Massey we can use any model for M. By definition of formality, $(H^*(M),0)$ is a model for M. In this model we can use $x=0,y=0$ for $a_1\cdot a_2=dx$, $a_2\cdot a_3=dy$. So the Massey product is $\langle [a_1],[a_2],[a_3]\rangle=[a_1\cdot y+(-1)^{p_1+1}x\cdot a_3]=0$. □

The concept of formality is also defined for CW-complexes which have a minimal model $(\bigwedge V,\, d)$. Such a minimal model is constructed as the minimal model associated to the differential complex of piecewise-linear polynomial forms [16]. We shall not need this in full generality, but we shall use the case when X is an orbifold. Thus, since the proof of Theorem 2.3 given in [12] only uses that the cohomology $H^*(M)$ is a Poincaré duality algebra, Theorem 2.3 also holds for compact connected orientable orbifolds.

3. Formality of 3-Sasakian manifolds

We recall the notion of 3-Sasakian manifolds following [4, 6, 5]. An odd dimensional Riemannian manifold (N,g) is Sasakian if its cone $(N\times\mathbb{R}^+, g^c=t^2g+dt^2)$ is Kähler, that is the cone metric $g^c=t^2g+dt^2$ admits a compatible integrable almost complex structure J so that $(N\times\mathbb{R}^+, g^c=t^2g+dt^2, J)$ is a Kähler manifold. In this case the Reeb vector field $\xi=J\partial_t$ is a Killing vector field of unit length. The corresponding 1-form η defined by $\eta(X)=g(\xi,X)$, for any vector field X on N, is a contact form. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of g. The (1,1) tensor $\phi X=\nabla_X\xi$ satisfies the identities

$$\phi^2=-Id+\eta\otimes\xi,\quad g(\phi X,\phi Y)=g(X,Y)-\eta(X)\eta(Y),\quad d\eta(X,Y)=2g(\phi X,Y),$$

for vector fields X, Y.

A collection of three Sasakian structures on a $(4n+3)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying quaternionic-like identities form a 3-Sasakian structure. More precisely, a Riemannian manifold (N, g) of dimension $4n+3$ is called 3-Sasakian if its cone $(N \times \mathbb{R}^+, g^c = t^2 g + dt^2)$ is hyperkähler, that is the metric $g^c = t^2 g + dt^2$ admits three compatible integrable almost complex structure J_s, $s = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying the quaternionic relations, i.e., $J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1 = J_3$, such that $(N \times \mathbb{R}^+, g^c = t^2 g + dt^2, J_1, J_2, J_3)$ is a hyperkähler manifold. Equivalently, the holonomy group of the cone metric g^c is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)$. In this case the Reeb vector fields $\xi_s = J_s \partial_t$ $(s = 1, 2, 3)$ are Killing vector fields. The three Reeb vector fields ξ_s, the three 1-forms η_s and the three $(1,1)$ tensors ϕ_s, where $s = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta_i(\xi_j) = g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij},\\
&\phi_i \, \xi_j = -\phi_j \, \xi_i = \xi_k,\\
&\eta_i \circ \phi_j = -\eta_j \circ \phi_i = \eta_k,\\
&\phi_i \circ \phi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_i = -\phi_j \circ \phi_i + \eta_i \otimes \xi_j = \phi_k,
\end{aligned}$$

for any cyclic permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$.

The Reeb vector fields ξ_s satisfy the relations $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 2\xi_k$ thus spanning an integrable 3-dimensional distribution on a 3-Sasakian manifold. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we use the two following results about the three dimensional 3-Sasakian foliation proved by Boyer and Galicki in [6].

Proposition 3.1 ([6])**.** *Let (N, g) be a 3-Sasakian manifold such that the Reeb vector fields (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) are complete. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the canonical three dimensional foliation on N. Then,*

i) The leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are totally geodesic spherical space forms $\Gamma \backslash S^3$ of constant curvature one, where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a finite subgroup.
ii) The 3-Sasakian structure on M restricts to a 3-Sasakian structure on each leaf.
iii) The generic leaves are either $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$.

Theorem 3.2 ([6])**.** *Let (N, g) be a 3-Sasakian manifold of dimension $4n+3$ such that the Reeb vector fields (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) are complete. Then the space of leaves $N/\mathcal{F}_3$ has the structure of a quaternionic Kähler orbifold $(\mathcal{O}, g_{\mathcal{O}})$ of dimension $4n$ such that the natural projection $\pi \colon N \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a principal V-bundle with group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and π is a Riemannian orbifold submersion such that the scalar curvature of $g_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $16n(n+2)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Consider (N, g) a 7-dimensional simply connected compact 3-Sasakian manifold whose second Betti number is $b_2(N) \leq 1$. Then N is formal by Lemma 2.4. The converse is equivalent to prove that if the compact 3-Sasakian manifold (N, g) has $b_2(N) = k > 1$, then N is non-formal. To this end, we will show that N has a non-trivial Massey product.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the canonical three dimensional foliation on N. Since N is compact, the Reeb vector fields (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) are complete. Then, by Proposition 3.1, the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are quotients $\Gamma \backslash S^3$, where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a finite subgroup. Theorem 3.2 implies that there is an orbifold S^3-bundle $S^3 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is a compact quaternionic

Kähler orbifold of dimension 4, with Euler class given by the integral cohomology class $\Omega \in H^4(\mathcal{O})$ of the quaternionic 4-form. Note that $\mathcal{O}$ is simply connected because N is so (see [5, Theorem 4.3.18]). So $S^3 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a rational fibration (that is, after rationalization of the spaces, it becomes a fibration). Therefore [26], if $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a model of $\mathcal{O}$, then $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \bigwedge(z), d)$, with $|z| = 3$, $d|_{\mathcal{A}} = d_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $dz = \Omega$, is a model of N.

Moreover, $\mathcal{O}$ is formal because it is a simply connected compact orbifold of dimension 4 and Theorem 2.3 also holds for orbifolds. Thus, a model of $\mathcal{O}$ is $(H^*(\mathcal{O}), 0)$, where $H^*(\mathcal{O})$ is the cohomology algebra of $\mathcal{O}$. Hence, a model of N is the differential algebra $(H^*(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \bigwedge(z), d)$, with $dz = \Omega \in H^4(\mathcal{O})$, and

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}) \,=\, H^3(\mathcal{O}) = 0\,, \qquad H^2(\mathcal{O}) \,=\, \langle a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k\rangle, \quad k \geq 2,$$

since $b_2(N) = k \geq 2$. Since $H^*(\mathcal{O})$ is a Poincaré duality algebra, the intersection pairing is a non-degenerate quadractic form on $H^2(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, we can take $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ an orthogonal basis of $H^2(\mathcal{O})$, that is $a_i \cdot a_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The cohomology of N is

$$\begin{array}{l} H^1(N) = H^3(N) = H^4(N) = H^6(N) = 0\,, \\ H^2(N) = \langle a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k\rangle, \\ H^5(N) = \langle a_1\, z, a_2\, z, \cdots, a_k\, z\rangle. \end{array}$$

Then $a_1 \cdot a_1 \,=\, \Omega = dz$. Thus the Massey product $\langle a_1, a_1, a_i\rangle = a_i\, z$ is defined for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and, for $i \neq 1$, it is non-trivial. □

A 7-dimensional formal Sasaki-Einstein manifold with $b_2 \geq 2$. We show an example of a 7-dimensional simply connected, compact Sasaki-Einstein manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 \geq 2$, which is formal. To this end, we recall the following

Theorem 3.3. [23] *Let N be a simply connected compact Sasakian 7-dimensional manifold. Then N is formal if and only if all triple Massey products are trivial.*

Next we consider M to be the blow up of the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$ at 4 points, that is,

$$M \,=\, \mathbb{CP}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3,$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3$ is $\mathbb{CP}^3$ with the opposite of the standard orientation. The de Rham cohomology of M is

- $H^0(M) = \langle 1\rangle$,
- $H^1(M) = 0$,
- $H^2(M) = \langle b, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\rangle$,
- $H^3(M) = 0$,
- $H^4(M) = \langle b^2, a_1^2, a_2^2, a_3^2, a_4^2\rangle$,
- $H^5(M) = 0$,
- $H^6(M) = \langle b^3\rangle$,

where b is the integral cohomology class defined by the Kähler form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Among these cohomology classes, the following relations are satisfied

$$b^3 \,=\, -a_1^3 \,=\, -a_2^3 \,=\, -a_3^3 \,=\, -a_4^3, \qquad b \cdot a_i \,=\, 0 \,=\, a_i \cdot a_j, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq 4, \quad i \neq j.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let N be the total space of the circle bundle $S^1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M$, with Euler class $\ell b - \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i$, where $\ell > 0$ is a large integer. Then N is a simply connected,*

compact Sasaki-Einstein manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 = 4$, which is formal. Therefore, N does not admit any 3-Sasakian structure.

Proof. First, note that we can assume that $\ell\, b - \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i$ is the integral cohomology class defined by the Kähler form on the complex manifold $M = \mathbb{CP}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3$, for ℓ large enough. Therefore there is a circle bundle $N \longrightarrow M$ with Euler class equal to $\ell\, b - \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i$.

Clearly N is a 7-dimensional simply connected, compact manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 = 4$. Moreover, N is Sasaki-Einstein. Indeed, the manifold M, that is the blow up of the complex projective space at four points, is a toric symmetric Fano manifold with vanishing Futaki invariant [14] and the existence of a Kähler Einstein metric follows from [1] (see also [27]). An application of [13, Example 1] gives the Sasaki-Einstein structure on N.

Now, by Theorem 3.3, N is formal if and only if all the triple Massey products on N are trivial. By Lemma 2.5, we know that Massey products on a manifold can be computed by using any model for the manifold. Since M is a simply connected compact manifold of dimension 6, M is formal. Thus, a model of M is $(H^*(M), 0)$, where $H^*(M)$ is the cohomology algebra of M. Hence, a model of N is the differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d)$, where $\mathcal{A} = H^*(M) \otimes \bigwedge(x)$, $|x| = 1$ and $dx = \ell\, b - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{A}, d) &= H^3(\mathcal{A}, d) = H^4(\mathcal{A}, d) = H^6(\mathcal{A}, d) = 0, \\ H^2(\mathcal{A}, d) &= \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle, \\ H^5(\mathcal{A}, d) &= \langle (\ell a_1^2 + b^2)x, (\ell a_2^2 + b^2)x, (\ell a_3^2 + b^2)x, (\ell a_4^2 + b^2)x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We note that if a Massey product of three cohomology classes of $H^*(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is defined, then at most one of these cohomology classes has degree ≥ 3 since $\dim N = 7$. Thus, by dimension reasons, the unique possible non-trivial Massey products are Massey products of the cohomology classes a_i of degree 2. Clearly, for any $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\langle a_i, a_i, a_i \rangle = 0$. Now we consider i and j such that $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $i \neq j$. Then, $a_i \cdot a_i = a_i^2 = -d(x \cdot a_i)$ and $a_i \cdot a_j = 0$. Thus, the triple Massey product $\langle a_i, a_i, a_j \rangle$ is defined and

$$\langle a_i, a_i, a_j \rangle = -x \cdot a_i \cdot a_j = 0,$$

since $a_i \cdot a_j = 0$. Finally, if $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are such that $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$, then $a_i \cdot a_j = 0 = a_j \cdot a_k$. Hence, the Massey product $\langle a_i, a_j, a_k \rangle$ is trivial again, which completes the proof. □

Finally, we show examples of 7-dimensional simply connected compact Sasakian manifolds, with second Betti number $b_2 \geq 2$, which are formal. For this, we consider M to be the blow up of the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$ at k points, with $k \geq 2$, that is

$$M = \mathbb{CP}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \overbrace{\cdots}^{k} \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3,$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3$ is $\mathbb{CP}^3$ with the opposite of the standard orientation. (Note that the case $k = 4$ was considered in Theorem 3.4.) Now, the de Rham cohomology of M is

- $H^0(M) = \langle 1 \rangle$,
- $H^1(M) = 0$,
- $H^2(M) = \langle b, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k \rangle$,
- $H^3(M) = 0$,

- $H^4(M) = \langle b^2, a_1^2, a_2^2, \cdots a_k^2\rangle$,
- $H^5(M) = 0$,
- $H^6(M) = \langle b^3\rangle$,

where b is the integral cohomology class defined by the Kähler form ω on $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Among these cohomology classes, the following relations are satisfied

$$b^3 = -a_i^3, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \qquad b \cdot a_i = 0 = a_i \cdot a_j, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad i \neq j.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let P be the total space of the circle bundle $S^1 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M$, with Euler class $\ell b - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$, where $\ell > 0$ is a large integer. Then P is a simply connected, compact Sasakian manifold, with second Betti number $b_2 = k$, which is formal. Therefore, for $k \geq 2$, P does not admit any 3-Sasakian structure.*

Proof. Since, for ℓ large enough, $\ell\, b - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$ is the integral cohomology class defined by Kähler form on the complex manifold $M = \mathbb{CP}^3 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3 \# \cdots \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^3$, we can consider the principal circle bundle $S^1 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M$ with Euler class equal to $\ell\, b - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$. Then P is a 7-dimensional simply connected, compact Sasakian manifold, with second Betti number $b_2(P) = k$.

By Theorem 3.3, P is formal if and only if all the triple Massey products on P are trivial. Moreover, by Lemma 2.5, to calculate Massey products on a manifold we can use any model for the manifold. Since M is a simply connected compact manifold of dimension 6, M is formal. Thus, a model of M is $(H^*(M), 0)$, where $H^*(M)$ is the cohomology algebra of M. Hence, a model of N is the differential algebra $(\mathcal{A}, d)$, where $\mathcal{A} = H^*(M) \otimes \bigwedge(x)$, $|x| = 1$ and $dx = \ell\, b - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{A}, d) &= H^3(\mathcal{A}, d) = H^4(\mathcal{A}, d) = H^6(\mathcal{A}, d) = 0, \\ H^2(\mathcal{A}, d) &= \langle a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{k-1}, a_k\rangle, \\ H^5(\mathcal{A}, d) &= \langle (\ell a_i^2 + b^2)x,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, k\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now a similar proof to that given in Theorem 3.4 allows one to show that all triple Massey products on P are zero. □

Remark 3.6. Note that the Kähler manifold M defined as the blow up of the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$ at k points, with $k \geq 2$, is Kähler Einstein if $k = 4$. For $k \leq 3$, it happens that the authomorfism group of M is not reductive, and the very well known Matsushima criterium [22] implies that M does not admit Kähler Einstein metrics. If $k > 4$, it is not clear (at least to the authors) whether the manifold M, that is the blow up of $\mathbb{CP}^3$ at more than 4 points, admits a Kähler Einstein metric. So we can only claim that, for $k > 4$, the total space P of the circle bundle over M is Sasakian and formal, hence N does not admit any 3-Sasakian structure.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank V. Apostolov for explaining to us the criterium for the existence of a Kähler Einstein metric on Fano manifolds. The first author was partially supported through Project MICINN (Spain) MTM2011-28326-C02-02 and MTM2014-54804-P. The second author was partially supported by Contract DFNI I02/4/12.12.2014 and Contract 148/17.04.2015 with the Sofia University "St.Kl.Ohridski". The third author was partially supported by Project MICINN (Spain) MTM2010-17389.

References

[1] V. Batyrev, E. Selivanova, Einstein-Kähler metrics on symmetric toric Fano manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **512** (1999), 225–236.

[2] O. Biquard, *Métriques d'Einstein asymptotiquement symétriques*, Astérisque **265** (2000).

[3] I. Biswas, M. Fernández, V. Muñoz and A. Tralle, On formality of Sasakian manifolds, to appear in *J. Topol.*; arXiv:1402.6861.

[4] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, volume 203 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2010.

[5] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007.

[6] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, 3-*Sasakian manifolds*, Surveys in differential geometry: essays on Einstein manifolds, 123–184, *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, VI, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999.

[7] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, B. M. Mann, The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **455** (1994), 183–220.

[8] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, B. M. Mann and E. G. Rees, Compact 3-Sasakian 7-manifolds with arbitrary second Betti number, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 321–344.

[9] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), 245–274.

[10] S. Dragomir, G. Tomassini, *Differential geometry and analisys on CR manifolds*, Progress in Math. vol. 246, Birkh?user Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.

[11] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Springer, 2002.

[12] M. Fernández and V. Muñoz, Formality of Donaldson submanifolds, *Math. Z.* **250** (2005), 149–175.

[13] Th. Friedrich and I. Kath, 7-Dimensional Compact Riemannian Manifolds with Killing Spinors, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990), 543–561.

[14] S. Futaki, An obstruction to the existence of Einstein-Kähler metrics, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 437–443.

[15] K. Galicki, S. Salamon, On Betti Numbers of 3-Sasakian Manifolds, *Geom. Ded.* **63** (1996), 45–68.

[16] P. Griffiths and J. W. Morgan, *Rational homotopy theory and differential forms*, Progress in Math. **16**, Birkhäuser, 1981.

[17] S. Halperin, *Lectures on minimal models*, Mém. Soc. Math. France **230**, 1983.

[18] S. Ishihara, M. Konishi, Fibred Riemannian spaces with Sasakian 3-structure, *Differential Geom., in honor of K. Yano*, Kinokuniya, Tokyo 1972, 179–194.

[19] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, D. Vassilev, Quaternionic contact Einstein structures and the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem, *Memoirs Am. Math. Soc.*, **vol. 231**, number 1086 (2014).

[20] T. Kashiwada, *A note on Riemannian space with Sasakian* 3*-structure*, Nat. Sci. Reps. Ochanomizu Univ., **22** (1971), 1–2.

[21] C. LeBrun and S. Salamon, Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), 109–132.

[22] Y. Matsushima, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kählérienne, *Nagoya Math. J.* **11** (1957), 145–150.

[23] V. Muñoz, A. Tralle, Simply connected K-contact and Sasakian manifolds of dimension 7, *Math. Z.* **281** (2015), 457–470.

[24] J. Neisendorfer and T.J. Miller, Formal and coformal spaces, *Illinois. J. Math.* **22** (1978), 565–580.

[25] L. Rizzi, P. Silveira, *Sub-Riemannian Ricci curvatures and universal diameter bounds for* 3*-Sasakian manifolds*, arXiv:1509.05410.

[26] A. Roig and M. Saralegi, Minimal models for non-free circle actions, *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), 784–820.

[27] X.J. Wang, X.H. Zhu, Kähler-Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class, *Advances in Math.* **188** (2004), 87-103.

Universidad del País Vasco, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Departamento de Matemáticas, Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

E-mail address: marisa.fernandez@ehu.es

University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski", Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Blvd. James Bourchier 5, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

E-mail address: ivanovsp@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), C/ Nicolas Cabrera 15, 28049 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: vicente.munoz@mat.ucm.es